REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The claims are 1-14. In the Office Action dated May 3, 2005, the Examiner indicated that Claim 4 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim. Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the subject matter of Claim 4 and Claim 4 has been cancelled without prejudice. In light of the foregoing amendment, Applicant believes Claim 1 is in condition for allowance. Applicant further believes Claims 2, 3, and 5-14, all of which ultimately depend from Claim 1, are in condition for allowance as well.

In summary, Claim 1 has been amended and Claim 4 has been canceled. In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that the claims be allowed and that this case be passed to issue.

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted, DJOSER OMOWALE - 1

COLLARD & ROE, P.C. 1077 Northern Boulevard Roslyn, New York 11576 (516) 365-9802 Allison C. Collard, Reg.No.22,532 Edward R. Freedman, Reg.No.26,048 Frederick J. Dorchak, Reg.No.29,298 Edward J. Callaghan, Reg.No. 46,594 Attorneys for Applicant

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE

Fax No. 703-872-9306

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being sent by facsimile transmission to the U.S.P.T.O. to Patent Examiner A. Alavi at Group No. 2875, to 1-703-872-9306 on June 10, 2005.

Edward J. Calfaghan

R:\Patents\W\Wynne - 2\Armendment to 1st OA.wpd